

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,420	PIPO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan Cariaso	2875	

All Participants:

(1) Alan Cariaso.

(2) Patricia Costanzo.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 1-20

Claims discussed:
 1-20


Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney was informed that the Terminal Disclaimer filed March 10, 2006 is approved and overcomes the provisional obvious type double patenting rejection to claims 1-18 and 20, and that the remaining USC 103 rejection to claims 19 and 20 would be withdrawn if applicant agrees to cancel those claims. Attorney left a message that applicant consents to the cancellation of claims 19 and 20. .